

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,084	02/17/2004	Donald E. Weder	8404.028	9512
30589 7	590 09/14/2005	EXAMINER		
	ODDING & ROGER	PALO, FRANCIS T		
PO BOX 16370 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	· . <u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/780,084		WEDER, DONALD E.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Francis T. Palo	3644		

Defend by the second				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Francis T. Palo	3644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 8/4/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or n compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper			
13. Other:		Francis T.	Palo	

Francis T. Palo Examiner Art Unit: 3644

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains that in consideration of the Landau broad teaching of laminated sheets of material, the initially filed and amended limitations, specifically, "at least a portion of the at least two layers of material are connected" and "the at least two layers of material are substantially unconnected", respectively, would be an obvious modification to Landau, by one of ordinary skill in the art of plant sleeves.